

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5
6 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, et al.,
7 Plaintiff(s),

Case No. 2:25-cv-00760-CDS-NJK

Order

8 v.

[Docket No. 32]

9 INTERNATIONAL MARKETS LIVE, INC.,
et al.,

10 Defendant(s).

11 Apparently on the request of counsel for Defendant Boyd, Plaintiffs sought redaction to
12 the complaint and filed a motion with a procedure to file an unredacted version under seal subject
13 to Defendant Boyd's showing as to why secrecy is warranted. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 26. The Court
14 ordered Defendant Boyd to justify secrecy by May 28, 2025. Docket No. 30. Defendant Boyd did
15 not make any such showing. Instead, Plaintiffs filed a notice that counsel for Boyd communicated
16 to them that he would not make a showing to support secrecy. Docket No. 36.¹ As no showing
17 has been made to support secrecy, the Clerk's Office is **INSTRUCTED** to unseal Docket No. 32.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: May 29, 2025

20
21 
22 _____
23 Nancy J. Koppe
24 United States Magistrate Judge
25
26
27

28 ¹ It is unclear why the Court's time was expended to venture down this path, when apparently there is no basis to claim secrecy.